

## Lead the Charge Automaker Supply Chain Scorecard - 2025 Edition

The aim of this scorecard is to establish a new expectation – and competitive advantage – for what a clean car really is. Not just an EV, but an EV that is manufactured:

- Equitably respecting and advancing the rights of Indigenous Peoples, workers, and local communities throughout the supply chain.
- Sustainably preserving and restoring environmental health and biodiversity across supply chains, whilst reducing primary resource demand through efficient resource use and increased recycled content.
- Fossil free 100% electric and made with a fossil fuel-free supply chain.

The indicator development for the scorecard was led by Pensions & Investment Research Consultants (PIRC), Europe's largest independent corporate governance and shareholder advisory firm, whose work was guided by members of the Lead the Charge coalition. <u>Please refer to the accompanying methodology document</u> for more information on the indicator development and research process.

This document contains the scores obtained by each automaker for each indicator of the scorecard, as well as explanations for why they were awarded these scores and information on the thresholds and benchmarks used for each indicator.

## Navigating this document

This document has several worksheets which present the data from the scorecard with differing levels of detail:

2. Summary | Overall - - this worksheet presents the total scores the automakers received for each of the two main categories (climate & environment, and human rights), as well as the total scores for each of their four sub-categories.

3. Summary | Climate & Environment - this worksheets presents the scores for each indicator of the climate and environment category, which looks at automakers' efforts to ensure fossil-free and environmentally responsible supply chains.

4. Summary | Respect for Human Rights - this worksheet presents the scores for each indicator of the human rights categories, which looks at efforts by automakers to ensure responsible sourcing and respect for human rights throughout their supply chain

5. Auto Review | Climate & Environment - this worksheet also presents automakers' scores for each indicator in the climate & environment category but additionally includes the explanation and references for each score they received, as well as information on the respective benchmarks and thresholds applied to each indicator.

6. Auto Review | Respect for Human Rights - this worksheet also presents automakers' scores for each indicator in the human rights category but additionally includes the explanation and references for each score they received, as well as information on the respective benchmarks and thresholds applied to each indicator.

7. New Indicators | Not For Publication in 2025 Edition - this worksheet presents the scoring of new indicators that have been developed this year (see the attached methodology for more information). These indicators will not be included in the public version of the 2025 Leaderboard: scores are only shared with automakers and within the Lead the Charge network.

8. Weightings - this worksheet provides an overview of the weighting methodology applied to the groups of indicators used for each sub-category. Please see the accompanying methodology document for more information on this weighting methodology

8. 3rd Party Schemes Assessment - this worksheet shows the results of the assessment of third party auditing and accreditation schemes, which results in point modifiers being applied to some indicators. Please see the accompanying methodology document for more information on this assessment.

			Fossil Free and Environmentally Sustainable Supply Chains			Human rights and Responsible Sourcing						
uto	Total score	General	Steel	Aluminium	Batteries	Total	Total x IM~	General	Transition minerals	Indigenous rights	Workers' rights	Total
ord	42%	45%	21%	35%	19%	30%	33%	69%	89%	20%	28%	52%
esla	43%	42%	22%	33%	36%	33%	40%	60%	69%	26%	27%	46%
<b>Aercedes</b>	41%	54%	24%	24%	37%	35%	38%	68%	40%	21%	50%	45%
MW	29%	60%	11%	1%	15%	22%	20%	64%	42%	12%	39%	39%
olkswagen	32%	51%	15%	4%	30%	25%	27%	69%	42%	6%	33%	37%
olvo	38%	32%	57%	44%	15%	37%	45%	62%	35%	4%	26%	32%
ellantis*	23%	36%	3%	4%	24%	17%	15%	68%	33%	0%	21%	31%
м	23%	28%	18%	21%	7%	19%	21%	47%	25%	11%	19%	25%
yundai*	21%	44%	12%	4%	9%	17%	19%	48%	27%	0%	20%	24%
enault*	23%	47%	9%	9%	35%	25%	22%	44%	19%	6%	24%	23%
ia*	16%	29%	8%	0%	8%	11%	12%	39%	19%	0%	20%	20%
eely	18%	34%	16%	16%	11%	19%	19%	40%	14%	2%	12%	17%
londa	10%	15%	0%	0%	1%	4%	4%	32%	21%	0%	11%	16%
oyota	10%	15%	0%	0%	6%	5%	5%	22%	23%	0%	17%	16%
lissan*	12%	20%	11%	11%	4%	12%	12%	28%	9%	0%	12%	12%
YD	6%	5%	0%	0%	9%	3%	4%	17%	6%	0%	6%	7%
AC	4%	13%	0%	0%	10%	6%	6%	4%	2%	0%	0%	2%
AIC	1%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

\*EV Volumes groups sales by of Hyundai-Kia and the Renault-Nissan-Mitsubishi alliance. They have been evaluated separately as they have different supply chain practices and policies and for ease, their EV Aug YTD sales were evenly split between them

"InfluenceMap scores were applied as a multiplier on the C&E section. Autos with a C or above received positive multiplier; below received negative, and autos not evaluated by InfluenceMap received no change. See the Climate & Environment review sheet for details. https://automotive.influencemap.org/

\* EV-Volumes OEM Share tracker. All figures are cumulative annual values from January 2023 up to and including July 2023. The data covers passenger vehicles only and includes Europe, China, Korea, Japan, the United States and Canada.

LINKED DATA

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	SAIC Points
1. Fossil Free and	1.1. Disclosure of emissions, water	1.1.1. The company discloses total scope 3 GHG emissions due to purchased goods and services.	2	0
Environmentall y Sustainable	and deforestation	1.1.2. The company discloses "significant emissions" in its supply chain.	1	0
	management	1.1.3. The company discloses water usage by key suppliers in its supply chain.	1	0
Supply Chains (General)		1.1.4. The company discloses deforestation and conversion-free commodity volumes from its supply chain	1	0
		DISCLOSE TOTAL	5	0
		DISCLOSE NORMALIZED	1.0	0.0
		DISCLOSE %		0%
	1.2. Target-setting and progress	1.2.1. The company has set and disclosed a scope 3 SBT (must include reference to upstream/purchased goods & not only 'Well to Wheel')	2	0
	towards fossil free	1.2.2. The company commits to having suppliers provide science-based targets for GHG emissions.	1	0
	and	1.2.3. The company discloses the current percentage of suppliers providing science-based targets.	1	0
	environmentally sustainable supply	1.2.4. The company requires all significant suppliers to set water reduction targets and disclose their water usage.	1	0
	chains	1.2.5. The company has programs in place to monitor suppliers for compliance with GHG emissions targets and other environmental impacts.	1	0.25
		1.2.6. The company commits to eliminate deforestation and the conversion of all natural ecosystems from their supply chains.	1	0
		TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS TOTAL	7	0.25
		TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS NORMALIZED	1.5	0.1
		TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS %		4%
	1.3. Use of supply	1.3.1. The company incentivises suppliers to reduce GHG and other significant air emissions.	1	0
	chain levers to achieve fossil free	1.3.2. The company implements incentives and control systems to improve water management by suppliers	1	0
	and environmentally	1.3.3. The company implements incentives and control systems to eliminate deforestation from its supply chain	1	0
	sustainable supply	SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS TOTAL	3	0
	chains	SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS NORMALIZED	2.0	0.0
		SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS %		0%
	<b>GENERAL CLIMATE A</b>	ND ENVIRONMENT - TOTAL NORMALIZED	4.5	0.1
	<b>GENERAL CLIMATE A</b>	ND ENVIRONMENT - TOTAL % SCORE (WEIGHTED)		1%
2. Fossil Free		2.1.1. The company discloses disaggregated GHG emissions for their steel supply chains.	1	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	SAIC Points
and	scope 3 GHG	DISCLOSE TOTAL	1	0
Environmentall	emissions due to	DISCLOSE NORMALIZED	1.0	0.0
y Sustainable	steel supply chains	DISCLOSE %		0%
Steel	2.2. Target setting	2.2.1. The company has set targets for the use of fossil free and environmentally sustainable steel.	2	0
	and progress	2.2.2. The company publishes progress towards their target by disclosing the current percentage of	1	0
	towards fossil free	low-CO2 steel in their annual production cycle.		
	and	2.2.3. The company has a target for the use of secondary/ scrap steel by 2030.	2	0
	environmentally	2.2.4. The company publishes progress towards their target by disclosing the current percentage of	1	0
	sustainable steel supply chains	recycled steel used in its annual production cycle.		
		TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS TOTAL	6	0
		TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS NORMALIZED	1.5	0.0
		TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS %		0%
	2.3. Use of supply	2.3.1. The company participates in multi-stakeholder procurement initiatives to collaborate with	1	0
	chain levers to	other buyers to incentivise investment in and production of fossil free steel at scale.		
	achieve fossil free	2.3.2. The company participates in multi-stakeholder standard / certification initiatives to drive	1	0
	and	investment in and production of socially and environmentally sustainable steel at scale.		
	environmentally sustainable steel	2.3.3. The company has entered into formal arrangements with suppliers to incentivise investment	2	0
	supply chains	in and greater production of fossil free steel.	2	
		2.3.4. The company integrates improved recyclability of steel into automobile design and manufacture.	2	0
		SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS TOTAL	6	0
		SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS NORMALIZED	2.0	
		SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS %	2.0	0%
	STEEL - TOTAL NORM		4.5	0.0
	STEEL - TOTAL % SCO			0%
3.Fossil Free	3.1. Disclosure of	3.1.1. The company discloses disaggregated GHG emissions for their aluminium supply chains.	1	0
and	scope 3 GHG	DISCLOSE TOTAL	1	0
Environmentall	emissions due to	DISCLOSE NORMALIZED	1.0	0.0
y Sustainable	aluminium	DISCLOSE %		0%
Aluminium	3.2. Target setting and progress	3.2.1 The company has set targets for the use of fossil free and environmentally sustainable aluminium	2	0
	towards fossil free	3.2.2. The company publishes progress towards their target by disclosing the current percentage of low-co2 aluminium in their annual production cycle	1	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	SAIC Points
	environmentally	3.2.3. The company has a target to increase use of secondary/scrap aluminium by 2030.	2	0
	sustainable aluminum supply	3.2.4. The company publishes progress towards their target by disclosing the current percentage of recycled aluminium used in its annual production cycle	1	0
	chains	TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS TOTAL	6	0
		TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS NORMALIZED	1.5	0.0
		TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS %		0%
	3.3. Use of supply chain levers to	3.3.1. The company participates in multi-stakeholder procurement initiatives to collaborate with other buyers to incentivise investment in and production of fossil free aluminium at scale.	1	0
	achieve fossil free and	3.3.2. The company participates in multi-stakeholder standard / certification initiatives to drive investment in and production of socially and environmentally sustainable aluminium	1	0
	environmentally sustainable	3.3.3. The company has entered into formal arrangements with suppliers to incentivise investment in and greater production of fossil free aluminium	2	0
	aluminium supply chains	3.3.4. The company integrates improved recyclability of aluminium into automobile design and manufacturing process.	2	0
		SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS TOTAL	6	0
		SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS NORMALIZED	2.0	0.0
		SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS %		0%
	ALUMINIUM - TOTAL	NORMALIZED	4.5	0.0
	ALUMINIUM - TOTAL	.% SCORE (WEIGHTED)		0%
4. Fossil Free and Environmentall	4.1. Disclosure of scope 3 GHG emissions due to	4.1.1. The company discloses disaggregated scope 3 emissions for their battery supply chains, including a total for the whole battery and disaggregated emissions for key battery minerals (cathode / anode active materials)	1	0
y Sustainable	battery supply	DISCLOSE TOTAL	1	0
Batteries	chains	DISCLOSE NORMALIZED	1.0	0.0
		DISCLOSE %		0%
	4.2. Target setting	4.2.1. The company has set a target to produce fossil free and environmentally sustainable batteries.	1	0
	and progress towards fossil free	4.2.2. The company has set a target to reduce reliance on energy intensive minerals in battery production.	1	0
	and	4.2.3. The company has set collection and/or recovery targets for high intensity battery metals.	1	0
	environmentally sustainable battery supply chains	TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS TOTAL	3	0
		TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS NORMALIZED	1.5	0.0
		TARGET-SETTING & PROGRESS %		0%
	4.3. Use of supply	4.3.1. The company requires all battery manufacturers to use 100% renewable electricity	2	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	SAIC Points
	chain levers to achieve fossil free and	4.3.3. Company enters into formal agreements (inclusive of joint ventures and investments) with extractives and other value chain companies to reduce the environmental impact of lithium sourcing.	1	0
	environmentally sustainable battery	4.3.4. Company enters into formal agreements (inclusive of joint ventures and investments) with extractives and other value chain companies to reduce the environmental impact of nickel sourcing.	1	0
	supply chains	4.3.5. Company enters into formal agreements (inclusive of joint ventures and investments) with extractives and other value chain companies to reduce the environmental impact of cobalt sourcing.	1	0
		4.3.6. The company participates in multi-stakeholder initiatives to collaborate with other buyers to incentivise investment in and production of fossil free and environmentally sustainable batteries at scale.	1	0
		4.3.7. The company invests in the development of new battery chemistries & technologies that reduce their overall material and carbon footprint by reducing the use of emissions-intensive minerals and toxic materials (such as persistent organic pollutants (POPs))	2	1
		4.3.8. The company invests in the development of new battery designs, technologies, systems and/or processes to maximize the recyclability of EV batteries	1	0.25
		4.3.9. The company has established processes for battery repair, reuse and repurposing in order to maximize the usable lifespan of its EV batteries.	1	0.5
		4.3.10. The company has established closed-loop processes in order to maximize the recycling of end-of-life EV batteries	1	0
		SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS TOTAL	11	1.75
		SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS NORMALIZED	2.0	0.3
		SUPPLY CHAIN LEVERS %		16%
	<b>BATTERIES - TOTAL N</b>	4.5	0.3	
	BATTERIES - TOTAL %		7%	
Climate	Influence Map	Multiplier applied:		1.1

CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENT - TOTAL NORMALIZED 18.0			
CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENT - TOTAL % SCORE (WEIGHTED)			
CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENT - TOTAL NORMALIZED + IM MULTIPLIER			
CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENT - TOTAL % SCORE (WEIGHTED) + IM MULTIPLIER		2%	

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	SAIC Points
1. Responsible		1.1.1. The company has a public commitment to human rights.	1	0
Sourcing and		1.1.2. The company extends their human rights commitments to their Tier 1 suppliers and beyond.	2	0
Human Rights		COMMIT TOTAL	3	0
Due Diligence:		COMMIT NORMALIZED	1.0	0.0
General		COMMIT %		0%
Indicators	1.2. Identify	1.2.1. The company has a process in place to assess salient human rights risks in their supply chain.	1	0
		1.2.2. The company discloses the salient human rights risks in their supply chain and where they are located.	1	0
		1.2.3. The company has a process for identifying high risk supplier categories in their supply chain.	1	0
		IDENTIFY TOTAL	3	0
		IDENTIFY NORMALIZED	1.5	0.0
		IDENTIFY %		0%
	1.3. Prevent, Mitigate and Account	1.3.1. The company assesses the risk of adverse human rights impacts with suppliers prior to entering into any contracts.	2	0
		1.3.2. The company discloses how it monitors suppliers for compliance with the SCoC during the contract period.	2	0
		1.3.3. The company reports on how it is prepared to respond if it finds non-conformances with the SCoC	1.5	0
		1.3.4. The company discloses how they verify the implementation of corrective actions.	1	0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT TOTAL	6.5	0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT NORMALIZED	2.0	0.0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT %		0%
	1.4. Remedy	1.4.1. The company has put in place a formal mechanism whereby workers, suppliers, suppliers' workers (in any tier) and other external stakeholders can raise grievances regarding adverse human rights impacts in their supply chain to an impartial entity.	2	0
		1.4.2. The company discloses data about the practical operation of their grievance mechanism, such as the number of grievances filed, addressed, and resolved, their type, severity and outcome.	1	0
		1.4.3. The company has put in place a remedy process.	2	0
		REMEDY TOTAL	5	0
		REMEDY NORMALIZED	2.0	0.0
		REMEDY %		0%
	GENERAL HUM	AN RIGHTS - TOTAL NORMALIZED	6.5	
		AN RIGHTS - TOTAL % SCORE (WEIGHTED)	1	0%
2. Responsible		2.1.1. The company has a commitment to responsible metals and minerals sourcing.	1	0

section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	SAIC Points
Sourcing of Transition Minerals		2.1.2. The company requires its suppliers to undertake due diligence in accordance with the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High Risk Areas (CAHRAs)	2	0
		COMMIT TOTAL	3	0
		COMMIT NORMALIZED	1.0	0.0
		COMMIT %		0%
	2.2. Identify	2.2.1. The company has a process in place to map transition minerals (e.g. nickel, lithium, cobalt, copper, manganese, zinc) in their supply chains to the point of extraction.	2	0
		2.2.2. The company discloses transition minerals risks in their supply chain and where they are located.	1	0
		2.2.3. The company publishes a list of smelters or refiners (SoR) in its supply chain	1	0
		2.2.4. The company discloses which of the SoRs in its supply chain are conformant with the Responsible Minerals Initiative (RMI).	1	0
		IDENTIFY TOTAL	5	0
		IDENTIFY NORMALIZED	1.5	0.0
		IDENTIFY %		0%
	2.3. Prevent, Mitigate and	2.3.1. The company discloses how it monitors suppliers for compliance with the transition minerals due diligence requirements.	2	0
	Account	2.3.2. The company formally engages SoRs to build their capacity to conduct due diligence of their own supply chains.	2	0
		2.3.3. The company formally engages extractives companies and includes human rights clauses in any contractual arrangements.	2	0
		2.3.4. The company is a member of IRMA and actively engages their suppliers with regards to IRMA mining audits.	2	0
		Note: IRMA does not excuse companies from doing their own supply chain due diligence		
		2.3.5. The company reports on how it is prepared to respond if it finds non-conformances associated with its responsible minerals sourcing policy occurring in its operations or supply chains.	1.5	0
		2.3.6. The company discloses how they verify the implementation of corrective actions.	1	0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT TOTAL	10.5	0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT NORMALIZED	2.0	0.0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT %		0%
	2.4. Remedy	2.4.1. The company has put in place a formal mechanism whereby grievances can be raised about SoR facilities.	1	0
		REMEDY TOTAL	1	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	SAIC Points
		REMEDY NORMALIZED	2.0	0.0
		REMEDY %		0%
	TRANSITION M	IINERALS - TOTAL NORMALIZED	6.5	0.0
	TRANSITION M	IINERALS - TOTAL % SCORE (WEIGHTED)		0%
3. Indigenous Peoples'	3.1. Commit	3.1.1. The company explicitly commits to respecting the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP).	1	0
<b>Rights and</b>		3.1.2. The company has a public commitment to FPIC.	1	0
Free Prior and		3.1.3. The company extends their commitment on Indigenous Peoples' rights to their Tier 1 suppliers	2	0
Informed		3.1.4. These commitments are translated into the languages used by the impacted Indigenous Peoples.	1	0
Consent (FPIC)		COMMIT TOTAL	5	0
		COMMIT NORMALIZED	1.0	0.0
		COMMIT %		0%
	3.2. Identify	3.2.1. The company has a process in place to assess risks to Indigenous Peoples' rights in their supply chain to the point of extraction.	1	0
		IDENTIFY TOTAL	1	0
		IDENTIFY NORMALIZED	1.5	0.0
		IDENTIFY %		0%
	3.3. Prevent, Mitigate and Account	3.3.1. The company provides additional discussion regarding the practices by which suppliers must obtain FPIC	1	0
		3.3.2. The company is a member of a multi-stakeholder group (e.g. IRMA) that includes the participation of Indigenous Peoples to ensure respect of Indigenous Peoples' rights at the point of extraction.	2	0
		3.3.3. The company has a formal process in place to engage critical upstream suppliers on FPIC (e.g. extractives companies)	2	0
		3.3.4. The company reports on how it is prepared to respond if it finds FPIC breaches in its supply chain.	1	0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT TOTAL	6	0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT NORMALIZED	2.0	0.0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT %		0%
	3.4. Remedy	3.4.1. The company's grievance mechanism has a process for investigating and remedying breaches of FPIC that includes a formal role for impacted Indigenous Peoples.	1	0
		REMEDY TOTAL	1	0
		REMEDY NORMALIZED	2.0	0.0
		REMEDY %		0%
	INDIGENOUS R	RIGHTS - TOTAL NORMALIZED	6.5	0.0
		RIGHTS - TOTAL % SCORE (WEIGHTED)		0%

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	SAIC Points
4. Respect for	4.1. Commit	4.1.1. The company has a commitment to workers' rights	1	0
Workers' Rights		4.1.2. The company extends their workers' rights commitments to their Tier 1 suppliers and beyond.	2	0
Algino -		Note: only the specific worker rights commitments are evaluated here. Whether or not these commitments are extended beyond tier 1 suppliers is evaluated in the "General" human rights section.		
		COMMIT TOTAL	3	0
		COMMIT NORMALIZED	1.0	0.0
		COMMIT %		0%
	4.2. Identify	4.2.1. The company consults trade unions and/or workers' representatives in their assessment of salient workers' rights risks in their supply chain.	1	0
		4.2.2. The company discloses the salient workers rights risks in their supply chain and where they are located.	1	0
		IDENTIFY TOTAL	2	0
		IDENTIFY NORMALIZED	1.5	0.0
		IDENTIFY %		0%
	4.3. Prevent, Mitigate and	4.3.1. The company actively collaborates with workers and the representative organisation(s) of workers' own choosing to promote respect for workers' rights in its supply chain.	2	0
	Account	4.3.2. The company reports on how it is prepared to respond if it finds non-conformances associated with its workers' rights policy occurring in its operations or supply chains.	1.5	0
		4.3.3. The company works with the relevant trade union and/or worker representative organisation to verify the implementation of corrective actions pertaining to workers' rights.	2	0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT TOTAL	5.5	0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT NORMALIZED	2.0	0.0
		PREVENT, MITIGATE & ACCOUNT %		0%
	4.4. Remedy	4.4.1 Workers and the representative organisations of workers' own choosing are formally included in the remedy process.	1	0
		REMEDY TOTAL	1	0
		REMEDY NORMALIZED	2.0	0.0
		REMEDY %		0%
	WORKERS' RIG	HTS - TOTAL NORMALIZED	6.5	0.0
	WORKERS' RIG	HTS - TOTAL % SCORE (WEIGHTED)	• •	0%

IUMAN RIGHTS - TOTAL NORMALIZED	26.0	0.0
10MAN RIGHTS - TOTAL NORMALIZED	20.0	0.0

Sub-section	Indicator	Indicators		SAIC Points
	Category		of Points	
	HUMAN RIGHTS - TOTAL % SCORE (WEIGHTED)			

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points			
Sustainable a	emissions, water	1.1.1. The company discloses total scope 3 GHG emissions due to purchased goods and services.	2	The following scores are absolute, not cumulative: <b>100%:</b> The company discloses scope 3 GHG emissions due to purchased goods and services. <b>25%:</b> The company includes scope 3 GHG emissions including purchased goods and services in overall disclosure, but does not disaggregate. Note: the company may achieve additional points under each of the supply chain areas below, if they provide disaggregated emissions against each supply chain. Based on GRI 305-7, significant emissions include:	SAIC only disclosed scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions in its 2023 Sustainability Report (p. 91). 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor. com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf SAIC only disclosed its own SO2, NOx, NH3-N	0			
		1.1.2. The company discloses "significant emissions" in its supply chain.	1	<ul> <li>Based on GRI 305-7, Significant emissions include:</li> <li>i. NOx</li> <li>ii. Sox</li> <li>iii. Persistent organic pollutants (POP)</li> <li>iv. Volatile organic compounds (VOC)</li> <li>v. Hazardous air pollutants (HAP)</li> <li>vi. Particulate matter (PM)</li> <li>vii. Other standard categories of air emissions identified in relevant regulations</li> <li>The following scores are absolute not cumulative:</li> <li>100%: the company discloses significant emissions in their supply chain against all of the above categories.</li> <li>50%: the company discloses significant emissions in their supply chain against some of the above catetories.</li> </ul>	and COD emissions in its 2023 Sustainability Report (p. 91), without specifying the emissions of its supply chain. 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor. com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf				
					1.1.3. The company discloses water usage by key suppliers in its supply chain.	1	According to GRI 303, water usage includes: - water withdrawn - water consumed - water discharged Companies will need to define "key suppliers" and: 50%: provide data against some of the above indicators 100%: provide data against all of the above indicators	SAIC only disclosed its own total water consumption in its 2023 Sustainability Report (p. 91), without disclosing the water usage of its key suppliers. 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor. com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators		Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
		1.1.4. The company discloses deforestation and conversion-free commodity volumes from its supply chain	1	<ul> <li>50%: The company discloses the percentage of high-risk hard commodity volumes sourced that are compliant with the company's requirements or policies on deforestation and conversion. OR</li> <li>25%: The company discloses deforestation and conversion-free commodity volumes from at least one of its key high-risk hard commodities</li> <li>50%: The company discloses the percentage of high-risk soft commodity volumes sourced that are compliant with the company's requirements or policies on deforestation and conversion. OR</li> <li>25%: The company discloses the percentage of high-risk soft commodity volumes sourced that are compliant with the company's requirements or policies on deforestation and conversion. OR</li> <li>25%: The company discloses deforestation and conversion-free commodity volumes from at least one of its key high-risk soft commodities</li> <li>High-risk commodities are identified with the SBTN's High Impact Commodities List. Relevant commodities for automotive supply chains include Copper, Iron, Lithium, Nickel, Bauxite/Aluminum, Zinc and Manganese (hard commodities), and Leather and Rubber (soft commodities).</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0
	and	1.2.1. The company has set and disclosed a scope 3 SBT (must include reference to upstream/purchased goods & not only 'Well to Wheel')		<ul> <li>100%: the company discloses a verified science-based scope three target that includes upstream/purchased goods, including 2050 and interim year target(s).</li> <li>50%: the company discloses a lifecycle target that includes upstream/purchased goods, including 2050 and interim year target(s) and/or does not indicate if it has been verified as science-based.</li> <li>25%: the company only discloses 2050 zero emissions target with no interim target and/or it does not specify upstream/purchased goods.</li> </ul>	SAIC discloses that it has set carbon emission reduction targets in response to the national strategy of "Carbon Peaking and Carbon Neutrality", including "to achieve high-quality 'carbon peaking' by 2025" (2023 Sustainability Report, p.42). Its subsidiary SAIC Volkswagen has set a target of "25% average reduction by 2030 compared to 2018 and to achieve 'carbon neutrality' by 2050" (2023 Sustainability Report, p. 43). Its subsidiary SGMW has set a target to achieve peak carbon by 2025 and carbon neutrality by 2050. However, there is no disclosure of science-based target or the	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
		1.2.2. The company commits to having suppliers provide science-based targets for GHG emissions.	1	<ul> <li>The following scores are absolute not cumulative.</li> <li>100%: the company requires all its tier 1 suppliers, and their suppliers to set science-based targets. They also require tier 2 suppliers to set science-based targets.</li> <li>75%: the company requires all its tier 1 suppliers set science-based targets.</li> <li>50%: the company commits to having at least 70% of its key suppliers by emissions setting science-based targets by 2025.</li> <li>25%: company commits to having suppliers setting science-based emissions targets, but does not provide a target date or target date is after 2025.</li> <li>0%: Company does not have a commitment.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed.	0
		1.2.3. The company discloses the current percentage of suppliers providing science-based targets.		<ul> <li>25%: they disclose the current percentage of tier 1 suppliers providing science-based targets.</li> <li>25%: they disclose the current percentage of tier 2 suppliers providing science-based targets.</li> <li>25%: additional points for over 50% of tier 1 suppliers providing science-based targets</li> <li>25%: additional points for all tier 1 suppliers providing science-based targets.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed.	0
		1.2.4. The company requires all significant suppliers to set water reduction targets and disclose their water usage.	1	<ul> <li>50%: the company requires tier 1 suppliers to set water reduction targets</li> <li>50%: the company requires tier 1 suppliers to disclose their water usage. According to GRI 303, water usage includes:</li> <li>- water withdrawn</li> <li>- water consumed</li> <li>- water discharged</li> </ul>	Not disclosed.	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators		Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
		1.2.5. The company has programs in place to monitor suppliers for compliance with GHG emissions targets and other environmental impacts.		<ul> <li>25%: The company has a process that includes reducing GHGs and other environmental impacts, but lacks targets as a basis for compliance.</li> <li>or</li> <li>50%: The company has a process that includes reducing GHGs and other environmental impacts, and includes targets as a basis for compliance.</li> <li>plus</li> <li>25%: the company provides quantitative information of the number of suppliers audited and the tiers that are audited.</li> <li>25%: the company provides qualitative case studies of how they have engaged suppliers on their targets.</li> </ul>	SAIC has established supplier evaluation and management systems, including regular performance evaluation that involves the environment aspect (2023 Sustainability Report, p. 69). It has established a "green supply chain education and training mechanism" (2023 Sustainability Report, p. 69). However, it is unclear whether target is included as a basis for supplier compliance. 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor. com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	0.25
		1.2.6. The company commits to eliminate deforestation and the conversion of all natural ecosystems from their supply chains.	1	<ul> <li>The following scores are absolute, not cumulative:</li> <li>100%: The company has time-bound targets to eliminate deforestation and the conversion of natural ecosystems from their supply chain.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>100%: The company has time-bound targets to eliminate sourcing of high-risk commodities from areas of High Carbon Stock (HCS) and High Conservation Value (HCV).</li> <li>75%: The company has time-bound targets to eliminate deforestation and conversion of natural ecosystems in the supply chain of at least one of its high-risk hard commodities, and at least one soft-commodity.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>75%: The company has time-bound targets to eliminate sourcing from areas of High Carbon Stock (HCS) and High Conservation Value (HCV) for at least one of its high-risk hard commodities, and at least one soft-commodity.</li> <li>S0%: The company has time-bound targets to eliminate deforestation and conversion of natural ecosystems in the supply chain of at least one of its high-risk commodities. OR</li> <li>S0%: The company has time-bound targets to eliminate sourcing from areas of High Carbon Stock (HCS) and High Conservation Value (HCV) for at least one of its high-risk commodities. OR</li> <li>S0%: The company has time-bound targets to eliminate sourcing from areas of High Carbon Stock (HCS) and High Conservation Value (HCV) for at least one of its high-risk commodities.</li> <li>25%: The company has time-bound targets to eliminate sourcing from areas of High Carbon Stock (HCS) and High Conservation Value (HCV) for at least one of its high-risk commodities.</li> <li>25%: The company has a general commitment or policy to halt deforestation and the conversion of natural ecosystems in its supply chains, which extends beyond illegal deforestation or conversion.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
	1.3. Use of supply chain levers to achieve fossil free and environmentally sustainable supply chains	1.3.1. The company incentivises suppliers to reduce GHG and other significant air emissions.	1	<ul> <li>50%: the company specifies that sustainability and/or ESG are included as factors for choosing a preferred supplier.</li> <li>25%: the company specifies that GHG emissions are included in the tender and contracting process.</li> <li>25%: the company specifies that "other significant air emissions" targets are included in the tender and contracting process.</li> <li>As companies are unlikely to publish their contract information, references may be found in sustainability reports, procurement policies, etc.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0
		1.3.2. The company implements incentives and control systems to improve water management by suppliers	1	<ul> <li>20%: The company's Supplier Code of Conduct and / or Responsible Sourcing Policy includes specific requirements for suppliers with regards to water management and conservation (e.g. having in place a water management plan).</li> <li>40%: The company implements purchase control systems to incentivize improved water management by (potential) new suppliers (e.g. water management is explicitly taken into account in the tender process and is a factor in selecting suppliers)</li> <li>40%: The company provides evidence of policies, systems and/or processes it has operationalized to manage risks and address impacts of water depletion/pollution by (existing) suppliers (e.g. the company provides detail of specific water risks it has identified as part of its supply chain risk assessment process; the company provides evidence of how they have engaged with, or suspended, noncompliant suppliers on water management, etc.).</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0
		1.3.3. The company implements incentives and control systems to eliminate deforestation from its supply chain	1	<ul> <li>20%: The company's Supplier Code of Conduct and / or Responsible Sourcing Policy includes specific requirements for suppliers with regards to deforestation and land conversion.</li> <li>40%: The company implements purchase control systems to incentivize compliance on deforestation is explicitly taken into account in the tender process and is a factor in choosing a preferred supplier)</li> <li>40%: The company provides evidence of policies, systems and/or processes it has operationalized to manage risks and address impacts of deforestation and land conversion by existing suppliers (e.g. the company provides detail of specific deforestation risks it has identified as part of its supply chain risk assessment process; the company provides evidence of how they have engaged with, or suspended, noncompliant suppliers on deforestation, etc.).</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
2. Fossil Free and Environmentally Sustainable Steel	scope 3 GHG emissions due to steel supply	2.1.1. The company discloses disaggregated GHG emissions for their steel supply chains.	1	The following scores are absolute, not cumulative: 100%: The company discloses scope 3 GHG emissions for purchased goods and services, disaggregated for their steel supply chains 50%: The company discloses a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) for at least one electric vehicle model that includes disaggregated data on the embodied GHG emissions from the steel used in that vehicle.	Not disclosed	0
	and progress towards fossil free and environmentally sustainable steel supply chains	2.2.1. The company has set targets for the use of fossil free and environmentally sustainable steel.	2	The scores below are not additive. They indicate specific thresholds for getting that percentage of points: <b>100%:</b> the company has a commitment to source 100% fossil free steel by 2050 and 50% fossil free steel by 2030. <b>80%:</b> the company has a commitment to source 100% Responsible Steel Level 4 certified steel by 2040 and 50% automotive steel that is ResponsibleSteel level 3 or 4 by 2030 (targets that align with ResponsibleSteel's emissions thresholds for these levels will also be awarded points). <b>60%:</b> the company has set a target that is aligned with First Movers Coalition guidance of 10% "low-CO2" primary steel by 2030 AND/OR aligns with SteelZero Commitment to source 100% net zero steel by 2050, with an interim commitment of using 50% Lower Emission Steel by 2030 <b>40%:</b> the company has an emissions reduction target for steel that is aligned with IEA Heavy Industry Guidance (27% emissions reduction by 2030 and 95% by 2050) <b>2030</b> and/or a 2030 emissions reduction target for steel that is below the IEA Heavy Industry Guidance	Not disclosed	
		2.2.2. The company publishes progress towards their target by disclosing the current percentage of low-CO2 steel in their annual production cycle.		<ul> <li>50%: The company discloses the current percentage of low-C02 steel in their production cycle (definition of low-C02 steel taken from SteelZero / ResponsibleSteel, specifically &lt; 2 tons C02e/ton for primary steel with 0% scrap through to &lt; 0.35 tons C02e/ton for secondary steel with 100% scrap).</li> <li>50%: the company discloses the current percentage of Responsible Steel certified steel in their supply chain. Note: depending on the level of certification, companies may score points under the first category.</li> <li>MODIFIER: Half points will be awarded if a company discloses information that meets either, or both, of the above criteria but only for some elements in its annual production cycle.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points	
		2.2.3. The company has a target for the use of secondary/ scrap steel by 2030.	2	<ul> <li>100%: the company discloses a target for the use of recycled steel that is aligned with IEA Guidance for Heavy Industry has recycling, re-use: scrap as share of input in steel production as 54% by 2030</li> <li>50%: the company discloses a target for the use of recycled steel.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0	
		2.2.4. The company publishes progress towards their target by disclosing the current percentage of recycled steel used in its annual production cycle.	1	The following scores are absolute, not cumulative: <b>100%:</b> the company discloses the percentage of recycled steel in their annual production cycle including volumes of both pre- and post-consumer steel. <b>75%:</b> the company discloses the percentage of recycled steel in their annual production cycle. <b>50%:</b> The company partially discloses the percentage of recycled steel for some elements within their annual production cycle. NB: Total recycled/scrap steel volume is sufficient if total steel volume is disclosed.	Not disclosed	0	
	2.3. Use of supply chain levers to achieve fossil free and environmentally sustainable steel supply chains	2.3.1. The company participates in multi- stakeholder procurement initiatives to collaborate with other buyers to incentivise investment in and production of feeril feeril feeril to		50%: the company is a member of SteelZero. 50%: the company is a member of the First Movers Coalition's sector group on steel	SAIC is not a member of SteelZero or the First Movers Coalition's sector group on steel. <u>https://www.theclimategroup.org/steelzero- members</u> <u>https://initiatives.weforum.org/first-movers- coalition/community</u>	0	
		2.3.2. The company participates in multi- stakeholder standard / certification initiatives to drive investment in and production of socially and environmentally sustainable steel at	1	<ul> <li>25%: the company is a member of ResponsibleSteel.</li> <li>50%: the company actively engages their steel suppliers regarding ResponsibleSteel certification.</li> <li>25%: the company has disclosed purchasing commitments for ResponsibleSteel certified steel.</li> <li>Note: 0.6 points modifier applied due to multistakeholder initiative assessment. See sheet 8.</li> </ul>	SAIC is not a member of ResponsibleSteel. https://www.responsiblesteel.org/members- and-associates	0	

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points	
		2.3.3. The company has entered into formal arrangements with suppliers to incentivise investment in and greater production of fossil free steel.	2	<ul> <li>50%: the company states that it has entered into a formal arrangement with at least one steel supplier to invest in and scale-up production of low-CO2 steel.</li> <li>25%: at least one purchase agreement signed by the company with a steel supplier for the provision of low-CO2 steel is a binding contract for which timelines and scale of supply (e.g. volume of steel to be purchased per year) are publicly disclosed.</li> <li>25%: at least one purchase agreement signed by the company is for the provision of steel produced with new technologies for fossil-free steelmaking.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0	
		2.3.4. The company integrates improved recyclability of steel into automobile design and manufacture.		<ul> <li>25%: the company discloses that it is implementing a closed-loop process for steel (no reference to post-consumer scrap).</li> <li>OR</li> <li>50%: the company provides detail on a closed-loop process it is implementing for steel (must include reference to post-consumer scrap).</li> <li>PLUS</li> <li>50%: the company provides detail of how it uses automotive and/or component design to improve the recyclability of steel.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0	
3.Fossil Free and Environmentally Sustainable Aluminium	3.1. Disclosure of scope 3 GHG emissions due to aluminium	3.1.1. The company discloses disaggregated GHG emissions for their aluminium supply chains.	1	The following scores are absolute, not cumulative: 100%: The company discloses scope 3 GHG emissions for purchased goods and services, disaggregated for their aluminum supply chains 50%: The company discloses a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) for at least one electric vehicle model that includes disaggregated data on the embodied GHG emissions from the aluminum used in that vehicle.	Not disclosed	0	

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
a t a s s a	and progress	3.2.1 The company has set targets for the use of fossil free and environmentally sustainable aluminium	2	The scores below are not additive. They indicate specific thresholds for getting that percentage of points: <b>100%</b> : The company has a commitment to source 100% fossil free Aluminium by 2030 and 50% fossil free Aluminium by 2030. <b>80%</b> : the company has set a target that is aligned with Mission Possible 1.5 scenario all primary aluminium being produced with low-carbon power by 2035 <b>60%</b> : the company has set a target that is aligned with First Movers Coalition guidance of 10% "low-CO2" primary aluminium by 2030 (definition of low-CO2" primary aluminium by 2030 (definition of low-CO2" how for aluminum that is aligned with IEA Heavy Industry Guidance (27% emissions reduction by 2030 and 95% by 2050) <b>20%</b> : the company has a commitment to net zero aluminum that is below the IEA Heavy Industry Guidance (184 Heavy Industry Guidance)	Not disclosed	0
		3.2.2. The company publishes progress towards their target by disclosing the current percentage of low-co2 aluminium in their annual production cycle	1	The following scores are absolute, not cumulative: <b>100%</b> : the company discloses the percentage of "low-CO2" aluminium in their supply chain (low-CO2 defined as either aluminum with a carbon footprint of less than 4 CO2e/t Al or aluminum that is produced with renewable electricity). <b>50%</b> : The company partially discloses the percentage of low-co2 aluminum for some elements within their annual production cycle.	Not disclosed	0
		3.2.3. The company has a target to increase use of secondary/scrap aluminium by 2030.		These scores are not cumulative, they are thresholds for achieving a particular score. <b>100%:</b> the company discloses a target for use of secondary or scrap aluminium that is aligned with IEA Net Zero 42% secondary/scrap by 2030. <b>50%:</b> the company discloses a target for use of secondary or scrap aluminium that is less than IEA Net Zero 42% secondary/scrap by 2030.	Not disclosed	0
		3.2.4. The company publishes progress towards their target by disclosing the current percentage of recycled aluminium used in its annual production cycle	1	<ul> <li>100%: the company discloses the percentage of recycled aluminium in their annual production cycle including volumes of both pre- and post-consumer aluminium.</li> <li>75%: the company discloses the percentage of recycled aluminium in their annual production cycle.</li> <li>50%: the company partially discloses the percentage of recycled aluminium for some elements with their annual production cycle.</li> <li>NB: Total recycled/scrap steel volume is sufficient if total steel volume is disclosed.</li> </ul>		0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points	
	3.3. Use of supply chain levers to achieve fossil free and environmentally sustainable aluminium supply chains	3.3.1. The company participates in multi- stakeholder procurement initiatives to collaborate with other buyers to incentivise investment in and production of fossil free aluminium		<b>100%</b> : the company is a member of First Movers Coalition sector group on aluminum	SAIC is not a member of First Movers Coalition sector group on aluminum. https://initiatives.weforum.org/first-movers- coalition/community	0	
		3.3.2. The company participates in multi- stakeholder standard / certification initiatives to drive investment in and production of socially and environmentally sustainable aluminium	1	<ul> <li>25%: the company is a member of the Aluminum Stewardship Initiative (ASI).</li> <li>50%: the company actively engages their aluminum suppliers regarding ASI certification.</li> <li>25%: the company has disclosed purchasing commitments for ASI certified aluminum.</li> <li>Note: 0.4 points modifier applied due to multistakeholder initiative assessment. See sheet 8.</li> </ul>	SAIC is not a member of ASI. https://aluminium-stewardship.org/about- asi/members	0	
		3.3.3. The company has entered into formal arrangements with suppliers to incentivise investment in and greater production of fossil free aluminium	2	<ul> <li>50%: the company states that it has entered into a formal arrangement with at least one aluminum supplier to invest in and scale-up production of low-CO2 aluminium.</li> <li>25%: at least one purchase agreement signed by the company with a aluminum supplier for the provision of low-CO2 aluminium is a binding contract for which timelines and scale of supply (e.g. volume of aluminium to be purchased per year) are publicly disclosed.</li> <li>25%: at least one purchase agreement signed by the company is for the provision of aluminum produced with new technologies for fossil-free aluminum production.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0	
		3.3.4. The company integrates improved recyclability of aluminium into automobile design and manufacturing process.	2	<ul> <li>25%: the company discloses that it is implementing a closed-loop process for aluminum (no reference to post-consumer scrap).</li> <li>OR</li> <li>50%: the company provides detail on a closed-loop process it is implementing for aluminum (must include reference to post-consumer scrap).</li> <li>PLUS</li> <li>50%: the company provides detail of how it uses automotive and/or component design to improve the recyclability of aluminum. Note: this could include the development of new alloys.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0	

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points	
Environmentall y Sustainable Batteries		4.1.1. The company discloses disaggregated scope 3 emissions for their battery supply chains, including a total for the whole battery and disaggregated emissions for key battery minerals (cathode / anode active materials)	1	The following scores are absolute, not cumulative: <b>100%:</b> the company provides scope 3 GHG emissions their battery supply chain, disaggregated for cell production / manufacturing and key cathode / anode active materials (i.e. individual minerals) used in the battery <b>75%:</b> the company provides scope 3 GHG emissions their battery supply chain, disaggregated for cell production / manufacturing and cathode and anode active materials (as a total) <b>50%:</b> The company discloses scope 3 GHG emissions for purchased goods and services, disaggregated for their battery supply chain. <b>25%:</b> The company discloses a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) for at least one electric vehicle model that includes disaggregated data on the embodied GHG emissions from the battery used in that vehicle.	Not disclosed		D
	4.2. Target setting and progress towards fossil free and environmentally sustainable battery supply chains	4.2.1. The company has set a target to produce fossil free and environmentally sustainable batteries.	1	The scores below are not additive. They indicate specific thresholds for getting that percentage of points: <b>100%:</b> the company has a commitment to produce 100% fossil free batteries by 2050 and 50% fossil free batteries by 2030. <b>50%:</b> Alignment with IEA Heavy Industry Guidance (27% emissions reduction by 2030 and 95% by 2050) <b>25%:</b> Commitment below IEA Heavy Industry Guidance.	Not disclosed		0
		4.2.2. The company has set a target to reduce reliance on energy intensive minerals in battery production.	1	<ul> <li>25%: statement of intent to reduce high intensity minerals in battery production (which may include a commitment to producing smaller batteries).</li> <li>25%: the company has set a disaggregated target for the reduction of primary sources of nickel in their supply chain.</li> <li>25%: the company has set a disaggregated target for the reduction of primary sources of lithium in their supply chain.</li> <li>25%: the company has set a disaggregated target for the reduction of primary sources of lithium in their supply chain.</li> <li>25%: the company has set a disaggregated target for the reduction of primary sources of cobalt in their supply chain.</li> <li>25%: the company has set a disaggregated target for the reduction of primary sources of cobalt in their supply chain.</li> <li>Note: The final three scoring criteria can also be met by setting targets for increasing the % recycled nickel/lithium/cobalt used in new batteries.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed		5
		4.2.3. The company has set collection and/or recovery targets for high intensity battery metals.	1	<ul> <li>100%: the company has a medium term target of 95% recovery for cobalt &amp; nickel with 70% lithium by 2030 (equal to that proposed by the EU) and a short term target of 90% recovery rate for cobalt &amp; nickel and 35% lithium by 2025.</li> <li>25%: the company has set collection and/or recovery targets for high intensity battery metals that are lower and/or not disaggregated.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed		ז

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points	
	4.3. Use of supply chain levers to achieve fossil free and environmentally sustainable battery supply chains	4.3.1. The company requires all battery manufacturers to use 100% renewable electricity	2	<ul> <li>100%: the company discloses a requirement that all battery manufacturers are required to use 100% renewable electricity.</li> <li>50%: the company discloses agreements/requirements for 100% renewable energy with some battery manufacturers</li> <li>25%: the company discloses agreements/requirements for reduced emissions with some battery manufacturers</li> <li>or</li> <li>50%: the company discloses a requirement that all battery manufacturers are required to be "carbon neutral", "net zero" or similar but does not define how they are using the term.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0	
		4.3.3. Company enters into formal agreements (inclusive of joint ventures and investments) with extractives and other value chain companies to reduce the environmental impact of lithium sourcing.		<ul> <li>25%: the company has entered into contractual agreements for the purchase of low CO2 lithium. These agreements may include purchasing commitments, and/or other forms of investment, including R&amp;D.</li> <li>25%: the company has entered into contractual agreements to reduce other environmental impacts of lithium sourcing, including by incorporating environmental conditions into contracts with suppliers.</li> <li>25%: the company discloses the specific areas or requirements that such environmental conditions included in contracts cover. This may include requirements regarding water usage, biodiversity, tailings management, etc. but the company must explain how these conditions address specific environmental risks associated with lithium sourcing.</li> <li>25%: The company engages in multi-stakeholder initiative(s) to reduce impacts on sourcing (e.g. emissions, water, biodiversity etc.). Any such initiatives must be specific to lithium mining / refining.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0	

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points	
		4.3.4. Company enters into formal agreements (inclusive of joint ventures and investments) with extractives and other value chain companies to reduce the environmental impact of nickel sourcing.	1	<ul> <li>25%: the company has entered into contractual agreements for the purchase of low CO2 nickel. These agreements may include purchasing commitments, and/or other forms of investment, including R&amp;D.</li> <li>25%: the company has entered into contractual agreements to reduce other environmental impacts of nickel sourcing, including by incorporating environmental conditions in contracts with suppliers.</li> <li>25%: the company discloses the specific areas or requirements that such environmental conditions included in contracts cover. This may include requirements regarding water usage, biodiversity, tailings management, etc. but the company must explain how these conditions address specific environmental risks associated with nickel sourcing.</li> <li>25%: The company engages in multi-stakeholder initiative(s) to reduce impacts on sourcing (e.g. emissions, water, biodiversity etc.). Any such initiatives must be specific to nickel mining / refining.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0	
		4.3.5. Company enters into formal agreements (inclusive of joint ventures and investments) with extractives and other value chain companies to reduce the environmental impact of cobalt sourcing.	1	<ul> <li>25%: the company has entered into contractual agreements for the purchase of low CO2 cobalt. These agreements may include purchasing commitments, and/or other forms of investment, including R&amp;D.</li> <li>25%: the company has entered into contractual agreements to reduce other environmental impacts of cobalt sourcing, including by incorporating environmental conditions into contracts with suppliers</li> <li>25%: the company discloses the specific areas or requirements that the environmental conditions included in contracts cover. This may include requirements regarding water usage, biodiversity, tailings management, etc. but the company must explain how these conditions address specific environmental risks associated with cobalt sourcing.</li> <li>25%: The company engages in multi-stakeholder initiative(s) to reduce impacts on sourcing (e.g. emissions, water, biodiversity etc.)</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0	
		4.3.6. The company participates in multi- stakeholder initiatives to collaborate with other buyers to incentivise investment in and production of fossil free and environmentally sustainable batteries at scale.		<b>100%:</b> the company is a member of the Global Battery Alliance.	SAIC is not a member of the Global Battery Alliance. https://www.globalbattery. org/about/members/	0	

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators		Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
		4.3.7. The company invests in the development of new battery chemistries & technologies that reduce their overall material and carbon footprint by reducing the use of emissions- intensive minerals and toxic materials (such as persistent organic pollutants (POPs))		<ul> <li>25%: the company provides examples of R&amp;D that they are conducting to develop new battery chemistries / technologies that reduce the use of emissions-intensive minerals and/or toxic pollutants. R&amp;D could be done in house or via formal partnerships with battery manufacturers.</li> <li>25%: the company provides examples of the systems and processes it is developing to scale this R&amp;D to commercial production.</li> <li>50%: the company has brought to market electric vehicles that utilize battery chemistries / technologies that meet the above criteria.</li> </ul>	"SAIC Motor and QingTao Energy signed an agreement on the capital increase and share expansion, as well as a strategic cooperation framework agreement" in May 2023 (2023 Sustainability Report, p. 71). SAIC Motor will accelerate the industrial application of QingTao Energy's existing solid battery products on its self-branded vehicle models and expect to bring large-scale production to market with solid- state batteries in the high-performance, long- range models of IM Motors in 2024 (2023 Sustainability Report, p. 71). 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor. com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	1
		4.3.8. The company invests in the development of new battery designs, technologies, systems and/or processes to maximize the recyclability of EV batteries		<ul> <li>25%: the company provides examples of R&amp;D that they are conducting in-house or in partnership with value chain partners to improve the safe and effective recycling of batteries (for example direct recycling).</li> <li>25%: the company provides examples of the systems and processes it is developing to scale this R&amp;D to commercial production.</li> <li>50%: the company provides examples of battery recycling processes it has developed in-house or in partnership with value chain partners that have achieved recovery rates of at least 95% cobalt/nickel &amp; 70% lithium. Note disclosed recovery rates achieved at the pilot / R&amp;D stage are valid for points here. Disclosure of recycling rates achieved at commercial scale is evaluated in indicator 4.3.10.</li> </ul>	In SAIC's 2022 Corporate Sustainability report (p. 68), the company states that it follows the principle of a "full life-cycle management" for batteries and has co-established a new company called Energiex to enhance the recycling and re-utilisation of batteries. The new company was set up jointly with PetroChina, Sinopec, CATL and Shanghai International Automobile City. It does not provide evidence on how it is scaling this R&D to production or on recycling rates. 2022 Corporate Sustainability Report (Mandarin version) - https://static.cninfo.com.cn/finalpage/2023-04- 29/1216699191.PDF	0.25
		4.3.9. The company has established processes for battery repair, reuse and repurposing in order to maximize the usable lifespan of its EV batteries.	1	<ul> <li>25%: the company indicates that there are processes in place (such as inspection, design, access to battery information, collection and transportation, etc.) for repairing, reusing and/or repurposing batteries.</li> <li>25%: the company provides qualitative information about processes (including the establishment and operation of collection points) to increase the % of batteries being collected for reuse, repurposing and/or recycling</li> <li>50%: the company provides quantitative information about the collection of batteries (i.e. total numbers and / or percentages of batteries collected)</li> </ul>	SAC discloses that its subsidiary, SAIC MOTOR R&D INNOVATION HEADQUARTERS (SRIH), "in collaboration with partners, recycled more than 500 batteries and modules designed and developed by SAIC Motor" and utilized the components "in the construction and operation of 11 battery energy storage application sites in four cities" (2023 Sustainability Report, p. 47). However, there is no disclosure of future collection target or any process to increase the % of batteries being collected. 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor. com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	0.5

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution (Scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified)	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points	
		4.3.10. The company has established closed- loop processes in order to maximize the recycling of end-of-life EV batteries	1	<ul> <li>25%: the company indicates that there is a closed-loop process in place for recycling batteries (that involves recovering raw materials).</li> <li>25%: the company provides detail on the battery recycling process / method(s) used and discloses that they do not use incineration / high-temperature combustion processes.</li> <li>50%: the company provides quantitative information about the % of batteries currently being recycled (at commercial scale).</li> </ul>	SAIC states that it explores the circular economy model "by enhancing material recycling rates, promoting the cascading utilization and recycling of power batteries" (2023 Sustainability Report, p. 46). However, there is no indication of closed-loop process or % of batteries being recycled. 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor. com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	0	
5. Climate Lobbying		Performance Band (A+ to F) is a full measures of a company's climate policy engagement, accounting for both its own engagement and that of its industry associations.	Multiplier of total category score	A=1.3 B=1.2 C=1.1 N/D = 1 D=0.9 E= 0.8 F=0.7	C rating https://lobbymap.org/company/Saic-Motor- a1b706228303c63e68b65553f640d44f	1.1	

Sub-section	Indicator	Indicators	Total	Points	Score Attribution	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
	Category		Number of Points	Modifier (if appliable)	Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.		
1. Responsible Sourcing and Human Rights Due Diligence: General Indicators	1.1. Commit	1.1.1. The company has a public commitment to human rights.	1		<b>100%:</b> the company has a standalone human rights policy or other formal commitment that it will respect the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Bill of Rights, or commit to the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs).	SAIC does not publish a standalone human rights policy or other human rights commitment. Their ESG & Sustainability Report does not reference the UN Declaration on Human Rights, UNGPs or the International Bill of Rights. 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor.com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	0
		1.1.2. The company extends their human rights commitments to their Tier 1 suppliers and beyond.	2		<ul> <li>50%: the company has a Supplier Code of Conduct (SCoC) or equivalent that is easily accessible from their website. The SCoC explicitly references the company's human rights policy or states that suppliers are required to respect and/or uphold all human rights.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>25%: the company has a Supplier Code of Conduct (SCoC) or equivalent that is easily accessible from their website. The SCoC explicitly references human rights but only requires suppliers to respect a limited selection of human rights listed by the company.</li> <li>PLUS</li> <li>50%: the company "requires" or otherwise mandates their suppliers to apply the requirements of the SCoC to their own suppliers.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>25%: the company "expects" or "encourages" their suppliers to apply these standards to their own suppliers.</li> </ul>	SAIC describes a Supply Chain Management system, but does not disclose a Supplier Code of Conduct. 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor.com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	0
	1.2. Identify	1.2.1. The company has a process in place to assess salient human rights risks in their supply chain.	1		<ul> <li>25%: the company states that there is a process in place for identifying salient human rights risks.</li> <li>25%: the company explains its methodology for identifying risks (e.g. desktop review) and prioritising them.</li> <li>25%: the company specifies how often they repeat this risk assessment.</li> <li>25%: the company specifies if and how they engage with external human rights experts. Note: this engagement must be specific to the company and its supply chains to be scored here. Simply participating in a multistakeholder initiative that includes human rights experts is not sufficient, unless the company has articulated how it applies the information gained via these initiatives to their own supply chain.</li> <li>Finally, effective risk identification involves consultation with potentially impacted stakeholders. We have included additional indicators under each section below to reflect this.</li> </ul>	SAIC describes an internal risk management system, but this does not include human rights risks, or risks of adverse impacts on rightsholders (the company's description of risks identified in 2023 include business-related issues such as chip shortages, operational risks, financial asset management risks, foreign exchange risks, cyber and data security risks, etc.) (ESG and Sustainability Report, p. 34). 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor.com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Score Attribution Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.		SAIC Points
		1.2.2. The company discloses the salient human rights risks in their supply chain and where they are located.	1	The following scores are absolute not cumulative: <b>25%</b> : the company names the generic, salient risks in their supply chain (e.g. conflict minerals, forced labour, water security, etc.). <b>50%</b> : the company discloses where in their supply chain these risks occur, by reference to geographical location, material type, and/or tier. Note: greater level of specificity on all these elements is expected under indicator 2.2.2 on transition minerals risks. <b>100%</b> : the company provides additional description of these risks. Note: to score here, the description must be based on findings from the company's due diligence measures, and not constitute a generic description.	Not disclosed	0
		1.2.3. The company has a process for identifying high risk supplier categories in their supply chain.	1	<ul> <li>50%: the company outlines the process for how they identify high risk supplier categories in Tier 1 in order to prioritise differential assurance actions. This may include taking into account the leverage that the automotive company has to affect change (e.g. their annual spend, whether they are a primary or majority buyer, etc.), the geography of suppliers, and the severity of the risks that have been identified.</li> <li>25%: the company outlines how this process extends beyond tier 1. Note: this does not necessarily have to involve a process that extends to the point of extraction, as this is covered below in the transition minerals section.</li> <li>25%: the company outlines the types of differential assurance actions it uses to manage those risks. Note: to score here, it must do more than indicate that there are differential assurance actions, it must specify what those are.</li> </ul>		0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Points Modifier (if appliable)	Score Attribution Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
	1.3. Prevent, Mitigate and Account	1.3.1. The company assesses the risk of adverse human rights impacts with suppliers prior to entering into any contracts.	2		<ul> <li>25%: the company outlines the process to assess risks at individual suppliers. This may include supplier questionnaires, audits, etc. Note: it is not enough for companies to state that they assess suppliers prior to entering into any contracts, they must outline how this assessment occurs. Secondly, a requirement that suppliers sign a statement confirming their compliance is not sufficient risk assessment. Similarly, companies must outline how they verify information provided in supplier self-assessment questionnaires.</li> <li>25%: the company provides quantitative information of the number of potential new suppliers assessed, and the tier that they belong to.</li> <li>25%: the company provides quantitative information on the number of potential new suppliers where non-conformances were found. Note: the action taken to respond to these findings is addressed by indicators below.</li> <li>25%: this process extends beyond tier 1 to tier 2 at a minimum.</li> </ul>	The company does not explain how human rights risks are assessed prior to entering into business with suppliers. Some information regarding integrity and environmental considerations is disclosed, but this does not cover human rights: "manufacturing suppliers for mass production are required to hold an IATF 16949 certification and pass the Purchasing Department's pre-qualification audit to continuously build a green supply chain system. In addition, we require suppliers to sign a integrity agreement at the access stage, continuously enhancing the level of supply chain business ethics protection." (2023 ESG & Sustainability Report, p. 69). 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor.com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	C
		1.3.2. The company discloses how it monitors suppliers for compliance with the SCoC during the contract period.	2		<ul> <li>20%: the company indicate that there is a process in place to monitor compliance.</li> <li>20%: the company provides details on the process (e.g. tools, technologies and sources of information they use, auditing practices, how they select suppliers to audit, how often these audits take place, etc).</li> <li>20%: the company provides quantitative information on the number of suppliers assessed for compliance and the tiers that are assessed. Note: this indicator refers to quantitative assessment tools (e.g. surveys).</li> <li>20%: the company provides quantitative information of the number of suppliers audited and the tiers that are assessed. Note: this indicator refers to <u>on-site</u> audits.</li> <li>20%: the company provides quantitative information on nonconformances found. Note: the action taken to respond to these findings is addressed by indicators below.</li> <li>Note: Quantitative information on assessments and audits can be provided as a percentage of suppliers assessed / audited or as a number. If the company provides a number of suppliers assessed / audited or as a number. If the company provides a number of suppliers.</li> <li>For due diligence to be effective, it must involve potentially impacted stakeholders and/or their representatives. This is scored under each of the sections listed below.</li> </ul>	SAIC discloses a supplier management system, which includes "strict supplier evaluation standards", and describes some of the internal standards that underpin this system (ESG & Sustainability Report, p. 67). However, none of these standards appear to include or refer to human rights. The company also states that they engage in regular performance evaluations on suppliers (p. 69), though these cover aspects such as quality and environmental performance, and do not relate to human rights. 2023 ESG & Sustainability Report https://www.saicmotor.com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Points Modifier (if appliable)	Score Attribution Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
		1.3.3. The company reports on how it is prepared to respond if it finds non- conformances with the SCoC	1.5		This indicator relates to the contractual relationship between suppliers and the auto-manufacturer. It applies to all tiers to the point of extraction where there is, or there might be, a direct relationship between the auto manufacturer and the supplier. <b>33%</b> : the company discloses that suppliers will be subject to corrective action plans if non-conformances are identified. <b>33%</b> : the company discloses specific actions it will take in response to adverse human rights impacts and/or other human rights related contractual breaches by suppliers (for example, stop-work notices, warning letters, supplementary training, policy revision and termination of the contract). <b>33%</b> : the company discloses the number of corrective action plans or equivalent issued during the reporting year. Note: this is distinct from providing remedy to impacted stakeholders.	SAIC does not disclose a Supplier Code of Conduct, and therefore there is no information about how non-conformance is dealt with.	
		1.3.4. The company discloses how they verify the implementation of corrective actions.	1		The following scores are absolute, not cumulative: <b>100%</b> : the company discloses the types of actions that it undertakes across its whole supply chain to verify whether corrective actions have occurred. <b>25%</b> : the company only a subset of the types of actions that it undertakes to verify whether correction actions have occured (e.g. audits) and/or only discloses the types of actions that it undertakes for certain supply chains and/or materials to verify whether corrective actions have occurred. Note: successful corrective measures involve impacted stakeholders and/or their representatives. Their involvement is scored under each section below.	Not disclosed	

ub-section	Indicator	Indicators	Total	Points	Score Attribution	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
	Category		Number of		Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.		
			Points	appliable)			
	1.4. Remedy	1.4.1. The company has put in place a formal mechanism	2		<ul><li>10%: if the company only has an in-house mechanism</li><li>20%: the company has put in place an independent, formal</li></ul>	SAIC has established channels for complaints and reports in response to business ethics incidents (e.g. fraud) (ESG & Sustainability Report, p. 37). The company does not mention any channel to submit human rights concerns.	
		whereby workers,			mechanism to report a grievance to an impartial entity	2023 ESG & Sustainability Report	
		suppliers, suppliers'			regarding human rights in the company's supply chains.	https://www.saicmotor.com/english/download/esg/2023.pdf	
		workers (in any tier)					
		and other external			<b>20%:</b> The mechanism is available to its workers, suppliers,		
		stakeholders can			suppliers' workers (in any tier) and other external stakeholders		
		raise grievances			(e.g. whistleblower hotline).		
		regarding adverse human rights impacts			<b>50%:</b> the company communicates how the existence of the		
		in their supply chain			mechanism is communicated to its suppliers' workers and other		
		to an impartial entity.			impacted stakeholders. Note: simply posting it on the website is		
					not enough.		
					The involvement of impacted stakeholders and their legitimate		
					representatives (e.g. workers, indigenous communities, etc.) in		
					the design, review, operation and ongoing improvement of		
					grievance mechanisms is central to their efficacy. As such,		
					additional indicators have been included under each focus area		
					regarding the specific integration of feedback from different stakeholder groups.		
		1.4.2. The company	1		<b>25%:</b> The company provides quantitative information about the	Not disclosed	
		discloses data about	1		total number of grievances raised during the reporting year.		
		the practical			total number of gnevances raised during the reporting year.		
		operation of their			50%: The company provides disaggregated information about		
	gri mi th	grievance			the total number of supply chain grievances raised, with detail		
		mechanism, such as			as to their type, severity and tier		
		the number of					
		grievances filed,			25%: the company provides information about the number of		
		addressed, and			supply chain grievances resolved. The indicator below seeks		
		resolved, their type,			greater detail as to the concrete measures of reparation offered.		
		severity and					
		outcome.					
		4.4.2. The second	2			Not Performed	
		1.4.3. The company has put in place a	2		<b>50%:</b> the company discloses the process for determining remedy. This should indicate in general terms:	Not disclosed	
		remedy process.			- 25%: how they investigate an issue that is raised and escalate		
		remedy process.			the issue within the company		
					- 25%: how they determine appropriate remedy		
					50%: the company discloses information on the the measures of		
					reparation for human rights abuses provided through its remedy		
					process:		
					- 25%: The company discloses information about the number of		
					confirmed human rights grievances in its supply chain that		
					resulted in measures of reparation to those affected, or in a		
					request for suppliers to provide reparation.		
					- 25%: The company provides one or more qualitative case		
					studies to illustrate reparations in action (where there have		
					been no cases resulting in measures of reparation that year, case studies from previous years to illustrate the process will		
					suffice). Note: this information can be anonymised, to protect		
					the identity of those involved.		

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Points Modifier (if appliable)	Score Attribution Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
2. Responsible Sourcing of Transition Minerals	2.1. Commit	2.1.1. The company has a commitment to responsible metals and minerals sourcing.	1		<ul> <li>The following scores are not cumulative, they are absolute:</li> <li>100%: the company has a standalone responsible minerals sourcing policy or their human rights policy includes a section on the responsible sourcing of minerals and metals that applies to all minerals and metals.</li> <li>75%: the company has a standalone responsible minerals sourcing policy or their human rights policy includes a section on the responsible sourcing of minerals and metals that goes beyond "conflict minerals" to include some other minerals or metals (e.g. includes cobalt).</li> <li>50%: the company has a standalone responsible minerals sourcing policy or their human rights policy includes a commitment to the responsible sourcing of "conflict minerals" only.</li> </ul>	SAIC does not have a standalone conflict minerals sourcing policy or human rights policy. There is no public commitment to responsible metals and minerals sourcing.	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Points Modifier (if appliable)	Score Attribution Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points	
		2.1.2. The company requires its suppliers to undertake due diligence in accordance with the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict- Affected and High Risk Areas (CAHRAs)	2		<ul> <li>50%: Implementation of the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from CAHRAs:</li> <li>50%: the SCoC requires suppliers to undertake due diligence in accordance with the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from CAHRAs in relation to all salient metals and minerals from anywhere.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>-25%: the SCoC requires suppliers to undertake due diligence in accordance with the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from CAHRAs in relation to all metals and minerals from CAHRAs.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>-10%: the SCoC requires suppliers to undertake due diligence in accordance with the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from CAHRAs.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>-10%: the SCoC requires suppliers to undertake due diligence in accordance with the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from CAHRAs in relation to tin, tungsten, tantalum, and gold (3TGs) from CAHRAs.</li> <li>50%: Implementation of Due Diligence:</li> <li>-25%: the company requires suppliers to have a due diligence process in place to identify raw materials sources, specifically, conducting due diligence on Smelter or Refiners (SoRs) in their supply chain (this may include the use of third party certification, etc).</li> <li>-25%: the company requires suppliers to disclose smelter/refiner information.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed		D

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of	Points Modifier (if	Score Attribution Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
			Points	appliable)			
	2.2. Identify	2.2.1. The company has a process in place to map transition	2		<b>25%</b> : the company discloses that they have a process in place to map transition minerals supply chains back to the point of extraction.	Not disclosed	
		minerals (e.g. nickel, lithium, cobalt, copper, manganese,			25%: the company provides detail on the processes that they have put in place to map their transition minerals supply chains		
		zinc) in their supply chains to the point of extraction.			to the point of extraction. <b>25%:</b> the company discloses the portion of the transition		
					minerals supply chain that they have mapped to the point of extraction. Note: this could be by specifying which supply chains they have mapped, a percentage of total suppliers mapped, etc.		
					<b>25%:</b> the company discloses concrete information from their mapping (e.g. primary country of origin).		
					MODIFIER: In order to achieve full credit the mapping must cover at least the three focus minerals that are of significant industry and stakeholder focus given outsized volume and/or		
					impacts: cobalt, nickel & lithium. Companies that map two of fewer minerals will receive half scores.		
		2.2.2. The company discloses transition minerals risks in their supply chain and	1		50%: the company describes the risks of sourcing from CAHRAs in their supply chains, specifying the minerals and countries of origin (potentially) involved.	Not disclosed	
		where they are located.			50%: the company discloses broader risks from transition minerals in their supply chains and where these are located, by reference to material type, tier, and geographical location.		
		2.2.3. The company publishes a list of smelters or refiners (SoR) in its supply	1		<ul> <li>100%: the company publishes a complete list of smelters/refiners in their supply chain for at least 3TG minerals.</li> <li>50%: the company publishes a partial list of smelters/refiners in</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	
		chain			their supply chain. Note: to score here, the company must disclose a significant number of SoRs.		

Sub-section	Indicator	Indicators	Total	Points	Score Attribution	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
	Category		Number of	Modifier (if	Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.		
			Points	appliable)			
		2.2.4. The company discloses which of the SoRs in its supply chain are conformant with the Responsible Minerals Initiative	1	. 0.4	<ul> <li>100%: the company discloses information on RMI conformance for all of the SoRs identified in their supply chain.</li> <li>50%: the company only discloses information on RMI conformance for some of the SoRs in its supply chain or only discloses information on RMI conformance on an aggregate /</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	
		(RMI).			percentage basis		
	2.3. Prevent, Mitigate and Account	2.3.1. The company discloses how it monitors suppliers for compliance with the transition minerals due diligence requirements.	2		See general HR indicators	See general HR indicators	(
		2.3.2. The company formally engages SoRs to build their capacity to conduct due diligence of their own supply chains.	2		<ul> <li>25%: the company discloses that it participates in industry wide schemes that engage with smelters/refiners on their compliance with the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from CAHRAS.</li> <li>25%: the company specifies that it engages directly with SoRs to build their capacity to conduct due diligence.</li> <li>50%: the company provides detail on how it engages with SoRs to build their capacity</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	
		2.3.3. The company formally engages extractives companies and includes human rights clauses in any contractual arrangements.	2		<b>100%</b> : the company discloses that it has entered into direct agreements with extractives companies for the sourcing of transition minerals and that these contracts include human rights clauses.	Not disclosed	
		2.3.4. The company is a member of IRMA and actively engages their suppliers with regards to IRMA mining audits. Note: IRMA does not excuse companies from doing their own supply chain due diligence	2	0.8	<ul> <li>25%: The company is a member of IRMA.</li> <li>50%: The company actively engages their suppliers regarding suppliers' certification by IRMA.</li> <li>25%: the company discloses a commitment to source a percentage of metals from IRMA certified mines by a certain date.</li> </ul>	SAIC is not a member of IRMA.	

Sub-section	Indicator	Indicators	Total	Points	Score Attribution	SAIC Analysis			
	Category		Number of	Modifier (if	Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.				
		2.3.5. The company reports on how it is prepared to respond if it finds non- conformances associated with its responsible minerals sourcing policy occurring in its	Points 1.5	appliable)	See general HR indicators	See general HR indicators	0		
		operations or supply chains. 2.3.6. The company discloses how they verify the implementation of corrective actions.	1		See general HR indicators	See general HR indicators	0		
	2.4. Remedy	2.4.1. The company has put in place a formal mechanism whereby grievances can be raised about SoR facilities.	1		<ul> <li>50%: the company has put in place an independent, formal grievance mechanism that applies specifically to SoRs. This mechanism may be run in conjunction with other auto manufacturers. Note: this is in addition to any generic grievance mechanism that can be accessed by external stakeholders.</li> <li>50%: the company discloses how they review and investigate grievances raised through this mechanism.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0		
3. Indigenous Peoples' Rights and Free Prior and	3.1. Commit	3.1.1. The company explicitly commits to respecting the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP).	1		<b>100%:</b> the company has an explicit commitment to the UNDRIP in their human rights policy and/or in a standalone Indigenous Peoples' rights policy.	SAIC does not publicly disclose a human rights policy or standalone Indigeneous Peoples' rights policy. It does not have a public commitment to UNDRIP.	0		
Informed Consent (FPIC)		3.1.2. The company has a public commitment to FPIC.	1		<ul> <li>100%: the company has an explicit commitment to FPIC in their human rights policy and/or in a standalone Indigenous Peoples' rights policy. Note: to score full points, the commitment must be unqualified.</li> <li>25%: the company has an explicit commitment to FPIC in their human rights policy and/or in a standalone Indigenous Peoples' rights policy, but it is qualified (e.g. it allows for only consultation in practice, it is expected only in certain circumstances, it applies only to certain parts of the supply chain, etc.)</li> </ul>	SAIC does not have a public commitment to FPIC.	0		
		3.1.3. The company extends their commitment on Indigenous Peoples' rights to their Tier 1 suppliers	2		The SCoC or responsible sourcing policy explicitly references the UNDRIP ( <b>50%)</b> and FPIC ( <b>50%</b> ). MODIFIER: Points will be halved if the policy is qualified.	SAIC does not disclose a Supplier Code of Conduct.	0		
		3.1.4. These commitments are translated into the languages used by the impacted Indigenous Peoples.	1		<ul> <li>50%: the company requires suppliers to translate these commitments to the languages of the impacted Indigenous Peoples.</li> <li>50%: the company requires that these translations are actively made available to the impacted Indigenous Peoples.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0		

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	appliable)	Score Attribution Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
	3.2. Identify	3.2.1. The company has a process in place to assess risks to Indigenous Peoples' rights in their supply chain to the point of extraction.	1		<ul> <li>25%: the company discloses that their process for mapping their supply chains to the point of extraction (row 16) explicitly includes FPIC and other indigenous rights issues.</li> <li>25%: the company discloses where in the supply chain these risks occur.</li> <li>25%: the company discloses how they use this mapping to identify high risk suppliers.</li> <li>25%: the company provides case studies of this process in practice</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0
	Category 3.2.	3.3.1. The company provides additional discussion regarding the practices by which suppliers must obtain FPIC	1		<ul> <li>100%: the company discloses a process. This process must explicitly specify that any FPIC process must reach and engage impacted Indigenous Peoples.</li> <li>25%: the company states a process and/or expectation but it is limited in its application.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0
		3.3.2. The company is a member of a multi- stakeholder group (e. g. IRMA) that includes the participation of Indigenous Peoples to ensure respect of Indigenous Peoples' rights at the point of extraction.	2		Refer to Responsible Sourcing of Transition Minerals indicators.	Refer to Responsible Sourcing of Transition Minerals Indicators	0
		3.3.3. The company has a formal process in place to engage critical upstream suppliers on FPIC (e. g. extractives companies)	2		<ul> <li>This score relates to direct engagement by the company with extractives companies. It is in addition to their membership of IRMA.</li> <li>25%: the company formally engages significant suppliers regarding FPIC.</li> <li>25%: the company states that they formally review company documents (e.g. meeting minutes) to ensure that Indigenous Peoples' FPIC has been provided.</li> <li>50%: the company engages directly with representatives of Indigenous Peoples affected by mining operations to review that regular engagement and consultation take place, community needs are responded to, and there continues to be FPIC.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0

Sub-section	Indicator Category	Indicators	Total Number of Points	Points Modifier (if appliable)	Score Attribution Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
		3.3.4. The company reports on how it is prepared to respond if it finds FPIC breaches in its supply chain.	1		The indicators in HR general provide a baseline for this. In addition: <b>100%:</b> the company must specify that cutting off sourcing from a particular upstream supplier should only occur if this is sought by the affected indigenous community - it should not be solely determined by the auto manufacturer.	Not disclosed	0
	3.4. Remedy	3.4.1. The company's grievance mechanism has a process for investigating and remedying breaches of FPIC that includes a formal role for impacted Indigenous Peoples.	1		Grievances and remedy are part of FPIC considered as a process not a point in time. 50%: the company specifies that the process must reach and engage impacted Indigenous Peoples, not just that there is a process for complaints to be raised with remedy determined externally by the automanufacturer. 50%: the company provides case studies of FPIC-compliant remedy instances in their supply chain	Not disclosed	0
4. Respect for Workers' Rights	4.1. Commit	4.1.1. The company has a commitment to workers' rights	1		<ul> <li>25%: The company's human rights policy (or similar) includes a specific commitment to the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and/or the ILO Fundamental Conventions.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>50%: The company identifies and commits to respecting each of the five Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work as established in the ILO Declaration (companies who do not make explicit and unqualified commitments to all five ILO principles will not be scored):</li> <li>1. freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining;</li> <li>2. the elimination of all forms of forced or compulsory labour;</li> <li>3. the elimination of discrimination in respect of employment and occupation; and</li> <li>5. a safe and healthy working environment.</li> <li>PLUS</li> <li>25%: the company has a commitment to a living wage in their human rights policy or in another formal policy document.</li> <li>25%: the company outlines how it calculates a living wage.</li> </ul>	SAIC does not have a human rights policy or similar. It does not have a commitment to a living wage.	0

Sub-section	Indicator	Indicators Total Points Score Attribution Number of Modifier (if Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise spe		Score Attribution	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points	
	Category				Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.		
			Points	appliable)			
		<ul> <li>4.1.2. The company extends their workers' rights commitments to their Tier 1 suppliers and beyond.</li> <li>Note: only the specific worker rights commitments are evaluated here.</li> <li>Whether or not these commitments are extended beyond tier 1 suppliers is evaluated in the "General" human rights section.</li> </ul>		2	<ul> <li>25%: The SCoC includes a specific commitment to the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at work and/or the ILO Fundamental Conventions.</li> <li>OR</li> <li>50%: The SCoC includes specific requirements on each of the five Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work as established in the ILO Declaration (companies whose SCoCs do not include explicit and unqualified requirements on all five ILO principles will not be scored): <ol> <li>freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining;</li> <li>the elimination of all forms of forced or compulsory labour;</li> <li>as the effective abolition in respect of employment and occupation; and</li> <li>as asfe and healthy working environment.</li> </ol> </li> <li>PLUS</li> <li>25%: the SCoC prohibits the payment of recruitment fees.</li> </ul>	SAIC does not disclose a Supplier Code of Conduct.	C
	4.2. Identify	4.2.1. The company consults trade unions and/or workers' representatives in their assessment of salient workers' rights risks in their supply chain.	1	L	Generic supply chain indicators provide a baseline score for this. To get additional points here, companies must specify that they consult with labour unions and/or workers' representatives regarding salient workers' rights in the supply chain. This must expressly include labour unions and/or workers' representatives in the supply chain and/or global union federations (GUFs) Note: workers' representatives are not a substitute for trade unions where trade unions are allowed to operate and not limited in their activities.	Not disclosed	с   с
		4.2.2. The company discloses the salient workers rights risks in their supply chain and where they are located.	1	L	100%: the company's saliency assessment explicitly identifies workers' rights risks for at least one material / supply chain and the location/s.	SAIC does not disclose a human rights or labour rights risk assessment	C
	4.3. Prevent, Mitigate and Account	4.3.1. The company actively collaborates with workers and the representative organisation(s) of workers' own choosing to promote respect for workers' rights in its supply chain.	2	2	<ul> <li>25%: the company has a collective agreement with the relevant trade union in the headquartered country.</li> <li>25%: the company has a global framework agreement with IndustriALL for neutrality across all its operations.</li> <li>25%: the company describes the formal mechanisms it has put in place to consult trade unions and/or workers' representatives on the company's workers' rights principles and/or policies.</li> <li>25%: IndustriAll was actively involved in the formulation of the company's workers' rights principles and/or policies.</li> </ul>	Not disclosed	0

Sub-section	Indicator	Indicators	Total		Score Attribution	SAIC Analysis	SAIC Points
	Category		Number of	Modifier (if	Note: scores are cumulative unless otherwise specified.		
			Points	appliable)			
		4.3.2. The company	1.5		Refer to general HR indicators.	Refer to general HR indicators	0
		reports on how it is					
		prepared to respond					
		if it finds non-					
		conformances					
1		associated with its					
1		workers' rights policy					
ĺ		occurring in its					
		operations or supply					
		chains.					
		4.3.3. The company	2		<b>100%:</b> the company specifies that it works with the relevant	Not disclosed	0
		works with the	2		trade union and/or workers representatives to verify	Not disclosed	0
		relevant trade union			implementation of correction actions.		
		and/or worker					
		representative					
		organisation to verify					
		the implementation					
		of corrective actions					
		pertaining to					
		workers' rights.					
	4.4.	4.4.1 Workers and	1		100%: the company specifies that trade unions are formally	Not disclosed	0
	Remedy	the representative			engaged in any remedy process.		
	,	organisations of					
		workers' own					
		choosing are formally					
		included in the					
		remedy process.					

Indicator category	% weighting	Normalized weighting
Climate & Environment		
Disclose	100%	1.0
Target setting & progress	150%	1.5
Supply chain levers	200%	2.0
		4.5
Human rights		
Commit	100%	1.0
Identify	150%	1.5
Prevent, Mitigate and Account	200%	2.0
Remedy	200%	2.0
		6.5

Note: Total scores across both categories were taken as an average of the two percentages scored for each one

	Assessment has not been updated for the 2025 edition and will be updated later in 2025.		Coefficie and a conditation: And informations and takes builded		1	1	1							- ,			
initiative	Multi-stakeholder governance and civil society co-creation	Points (out of 2)	Credible audits and accreditation: Audit independence and rights-holder participation	Points (out of 1)	Transparency of audit findings	Points (out of 1)	Corrective Action Plans	Points (out of 1)	Effective grievance mechanium	Points (out of 1)	iseal code compliant member	Points (out of 1)	Credible standard criteria	Points (out of 1)	Total score	Overall Assessment P	Point modifier applied
Responsible Seel	An Experimental Annual	1	The degracebilidate certification standard regulars that party under at process, including the wards. Reproduction and the advection of the regiment of the compare of the advection of the process of the second standard process of the process of the process of the process of the process	1	Regransleidert pådalves somere yreport of the adds on is webste. There påde cannon report prode information on the add protect on a factor of patient prode the factor of the add to the add on a factor of patient packet of the factor of the add to the addresses against the add add of these. Physy / Jewes meanstheter, ang/ortfaction/hand cortfactor/)	۵۶	Reprint the second seco	۵5	An experimental event and experiments are a process of experimental event of experimenta	ā.5	ResponsibleSteel is an iseal connusly member but not tited as code complexity. [Utps://www. isealallance.org/neal-community- members]	0.5	Chernis 1.1.1 die schedungen bei einst ungenne wennter ihnes defaut darst eine schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Schedungen der Sc	1	5	Scheme has made notable progress in meeting most of the minimum oritoria but has some significant shortcomings	0.6
The industries for Responsible Mining Assurance (RMA)	1803 generality is load of Diraction with two representations from each of a sector. More sector and the sector of the sector o	2	More not accept information. Yet grap action (https:	1	HAN approximate of a markin of walks, shown as the walk processor and walks of an annual shown in the state of an advance of pro- gon and advance of processor of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of	î	The control and a source sector for a control to a third plant (204), difficult meaning of the meaning of regions balance in the development in parameters and a meaning of the participant and generative an articles. Or an all all sources approximative and a meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and the sector and the sector and the sector and the meaning of the sector and th	1	No KRAN comparison methodows is not independently the fittered inserver the institute dama sensing of other complexity gives an analysis of the sensitivity of the comparison of the complexity gives an analysis of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity. double take financing rights at den model have able by added of a first the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity of the sensitivity.	85	1994) van taar noeder het oot het ook oorgelee. (Higg://weeksetalitance.org/nei- connusity-methon)	85	The MAR standards surgering in The Young Arkinet Gauss 75(2) (page 1412 Mar Barden) The MAR standards a gauge at the MAR Care (Care and Warden) The MAR standards and the Gauss (Care and Warden) The MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR market and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards and the MAR standards	н	7	Robust scheme overall that still has some shortcomings but meets nearly all of the minimum criteria for governance, auditing and / or accreditation against its standard	0.8
Alambiam Simambhip Ioitanne (AS)	These same superstrating of effects believed under a new gamma base of the 44, separate the separate s	1	Reduced a construction of the second	1	The Rig addition summaries of its addit register is in the website. The empirical index sectors are the design of index sectors are setting on the sector index sectors are an end of the the sectors are register of the design of the sectors are the sectors are setting of the sectors are setting on the sectors are setting of the sectors are setting on the sectors are setting of the sectors are setting on the sectors are setting of the sectors are and and the sectors are setting on the sectors are setting of the sectors are and and sectors are and and sectors are setting of the sectors are and and sectors are and and sectors are setting of the sectors are and and sectors are and and sectors are setting of the sectors are and and sectors are and and sectors are and and sectors are are also as a sector and the sectors are and and sectors are and and and and and and and are are also as a sector are and and and and are are also as a sector are and and and and are are also as a sector are and and and are are also as a sector are and and and and are are also as a sector are and and and are are also as a sector are and and are are also as a sector are and and are are also as a sector are and and are are also as a sector are are also as a sector are	0.5	All requires resolution to advantige (SA) for all non-solutions and interfacional and a set of region an excellation to advantise of the solution of the solut	o	The do be explained nuclearing to statute completely of process on earlier of an other statute completely of process on earlier of the statute completely of the statute compl	0.5	The Alasticium Serverdolog bibliotin in land code compliant (Hgu://www.installiance.org/land- fold_code_compliant=1) fold_code_compliant=1)	1	As the section is upon the address of a DE characteristic section is a descent of the DE characteristic section of the DE characteri	0.75	435	Scheme has made progress in some areas but fails to meet multiple criteria for effective governance, auditing and / or accreditation against its standard	0.4
Pergotable Minarda Initiality (MAI)/ Pergotable Minarda Associatio Minarda Associatio Minarda (MMV)	A sol to be a solution of the	1	The BADY vertification than intelling response that gamps and it of sectors in the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the Sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the	0.5	The Self Ine on pull platform, which index suscerimities analysis with self-the interface analysis of the self-the self-	0.5	No status of al COM and factors, dang with a description of the uses understanding the second structure of the second structur	0.5	Norther that many theorem understanding theory (here experiments in the single spin of	0.5	The BMU is as initiality of the tot impossible discourse Aligner (MA). The BMA is an EDM absorber (MA) in the BMA is an EDM absorber (MA) in the BMA is a start of the BMA is a start in the BMA is a start of the BMA is a regulatorial glower and the BMA is a regulatorial glower and a	0.5	New solence that the LBA and exactled extitution is a lower and exact the sole description of the sole	0.25	135	Scheme has made progress in some areas but fails to meet multiple criteria for effective governance, accreditation apaint its standard	0.4
Coppertifiants	The land of Directors of the Cagaer Mon Include Your Handling representation, Neurosci The Landow Carlos and the Cagaer Mon Include Your Handling Property Carlos and Carlos and Carlos and Carlos and Carlos and Carlos and Carlos The Carlos and Carlos	85	Cognolities in the all applicable criters are independent sequence of the set band product application of the set band product product application of the set band product pro	1	Cognetified position mensional sectory specify shifts are used to said the specified position and positioned are surveyed and the specific position where any specific position are a surveyed at such that the specific position where any specific position are a surveyed at the state of the specific subscription is surveyed at the specific position and any without further exploration.	0.5	Cogenitive division details bate has represented from so the inductional data functional and the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the New Sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the induced sector of the ind	0.5	The photometer is the standard set of the stan	٥	The Copper Mark is an IGAL Constructly Metaber (2015) Inselfances org/sectationality- ness(copper end/sion-lassi- constructive-sectation)	0.5	Antispanse of the Appendix Institute are assumed great the MP has backets assumed the Appendix Institute are assumed great the MP has backets and the Appendix Institute are assumed as a stress of the Appendix Institute and the Appendix	1	4	Scheme has made progress in some areas but fails to meet multiple criteria for effective governance, additing and / or accreditation against its standard	6.4 (note: no indicator in the indedthaad specifically meetion this scheme)
Towards Satalinable Mining (TSM)	Set Dispersive mode exhibits a independent chall interest advances basis, making and the 20 str 20	0.5	TM masters that party soft of pactors, totaling the level enfortance. The Alexandroid and the Alexandroid	1	The adverse only requires particle distributions or a survey of solid facility to the mean particle, distributing the survey or	0.5	sub-flower Controls proceedings and controls and controls and the TSD sub-flower comparison of the control of	٥	The lass is theready inclusion, "how invariants in Print, wait Theorem," which we may as the momentary of t	ā.5	TSM is not an 0244.community member or a code compliant member	ũ	No. 128 Characteristics and the second se	0.5	z	Scheme has made progress in some areas but fails to meet multiple criteria for effective governance, additing and / or accreditation against its standard	0.4 (note: no indicator in the Leaderbaard specifically meetion this scheme)
Giobal Scel Climate Council (SSCC)	NumEricent: The Online Stand Density (2002) is a new partial association registrate its advancem- mention of the state industry. The OOC includes much these 3th intermetional producing memory and segurities who are able interAntoneous, todal associations, and and any other state industry. The OOC includes much the state industry that applicable and adjustments who are able interAntoneous, todal associations, and and any state and any other and segurities who are able interAntoneous, todal associations, and and adjustment and memory and any other and the other and the state of the Antonia and the adjustment and memory and the other and Antoneous and adjustment and the adjustment and produces of interAntoneous and memory and the other and Antoneous and the adjustment and the adjustme	Đ	Hauffolder, The certification process is achieved through self-searcament with third-party-verification, however an additional details are provided regarding the self-verification process, provide solid constraints and cargo- menters/ignices/2020/08/GECE Searcherk-Acgue2020.pdf - pages15	1 . O	The scheme has no requirements with regards to transportery of audit / certification multi:	٥	See is no public disclosure relating its Consolite Action Reas oversamy to achieve contribution and no assessment of schedule CAVs take been implemented.	٥	There is an address of a functioning presence, completes at hour resolution mechanism	0	GSCC is not an ISGAL community member or a code compliant member	ō	Back torps relating and spin fight (b) is called an instantial to include a loss hand making import the digra with a kinetic (b) is called an instantial spin fight (b) is called an instantial spin fight (b) is called and called an	0.25	0.15	Rawed scheme that fails to meet most of the minimum criteria for governance, auditing and / or accreditation	No scoring attribution possible
Normational Council on Performance Expectations Weldown	Sandhors, Albeid spiti-billin, the separations is of a sense parameters and a sense of the sense of the sense is a sense of the sense of a sense of a sense of the sense of the sense of the sense of the sense of the sense of a sense of the sense of the sense of the sense is a sense of the sense of the sense is a sense of the	Đ	Partiel The SLML classes rescalars independent that part and any particular, scalading with any and the start fragments of the start frag	L 05	hu CMM ann an gailte, a' regint bait is nandain paich. Na sand mail a' fé gantidiate proves	ō	sufficient. The CMM associated during the information provides action plane, or equipation, and the second	Ð	COM provide patients an interface part of provinces of a provide an information in the interface of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part	٥	•CANE to rolf an REAL community member as a call completed wandlar.	ũ	And ensure of perspective 1.5, registering the safety of search 1.4 and 5 angles 1.6 balar planeting to the 200 planeting the safety of search 1.4 and 5 angles 1.6 balar planeting to the 200 planeting the safety of the safet	0.75	135	Revel scheme that faits to meet most of the minimum criteria for governance, aug/drag and / or accorditation	No scoring attribution possible